

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/797,861             | 03/10/2004  | Paul E. Krajewski    | GP-303276               | 3714             |
| 7590 06/23/2006        |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| KATHRYN A. MARRA       |             |                      | BONK, TERESA            |                  |
| General Motors         |             | A DELIN VIE          | D. DED . W. DED         |                  |
| Mail Code 482-C23-B21  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| P.O. Box 300           |             | 3725                 |                         |                  |
| Detroit, MI 48265-3000 |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |          |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/797,861  | KRAJEWSKI, PA  | UL E.    |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |          |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Teresa M. Bonk  | 3725   |          |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>r Reply  | ears on the cover s   | heet with the correspondence a   | ddress   |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any r   | CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COM<br>36(a). In no event, however<br>vill apply and will expire SID,<br>cause the application to b | IMUNICATION.  In, may a reply be timely filed  ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |          |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
|  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| ,  |  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| •  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
|  | •  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 4)🛛  | 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| •  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 5)   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 9) 🔲 .   | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.               |  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.             |  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | inder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| a)[  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been receiv<br>s have been receiv<br>rity documents hav<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a                            | ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa )).   | ıl Stage |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic<br>3) Inform  | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date  | 5) 🔲 N  | terview Summary (PTO-413)<br>aper No(s)/Mail Date<br>otice of Informal Patent Application (PT                                | ГО-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krajewski et al. (US Patent 6,038,911) in view of Biondich (US Patent 5,776,270). Krajewski et al. discloses a method of stamping (Column 3, lines 25-26) an article from a sheet material that is strain-hardened/ a magnesium containing, aluminum alloy of AA5xxx family (Column 3, lines 60-63; Column 4, line 49). Krajewski et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the continuous sequence of operations.
- 3. Biondich discloses a method of deforming/stamping an aluminum workpiece of the 5xx series alloys comprising a continuous sequence of operations of stamping a blank of the sheet material into a perform shape (Column 7, lines 21-23); annealing at least the strain-hardened region of the perform by an annealing practice for re-softening the strain-hardened region

Art Unit: 3725

(Column 3, lines 38-410; and stamping the annealed perform to the shape of the article (Column 3, lines 56-59), the duration of the annealing step being no more than fifteen seconds (Column 5, line 24); the stamping/annealing/stamping operations are performed in substantially equal duration (Column 8, lines 44-49), the article is cooled after the annealing step (Column 8, lines 55-57).

- 4. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Krajewski et al's stamping step with Biondich's sequence steps because they are directed to a similar problem solving area of deforming/stamping an aluminum workpiece.
- 5. With regards to the lubricating step in claim 13, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in metal deforming processes to lubricate during a continuous process sequence. Furthermore, this step is given no criticality in the specification, as stated on page 4, lines 9-12 and page 11, paragraph 0035.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3725

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and further show the state of the art.

US Patents 4,324,596 and 6,224,992

US Publications 2004/0256079 and 2006/0016523

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa M. Bonk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1901. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 5PM with alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Teresa M. Bonk Examiner Art Unit 3725

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700